

December 1, 2009

SYSYEM TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REVIEW REPORT

Talbot County

Tannette Saunders Special Education Director

Divisions for Special Education Services and Supports

1870 Twin Towers East Atlanta, Georgia 30334 404-656-3963 The Georgia Department of Education, Divisions for Special Education Supports and Services continuously reviews the data of all school systems to monitor improved outcomes for students with disabilities. Systems that have been previously focused monitored are closely monitored to ensure that performance outcomes for students with disabilities show improvement. When system data indicates that there has been limited or no improvement in outcomes for students with disabilities, or the data has shown a negative rather than positive trend, the Division has created a process of monitoring to incorporate additional support and technical assistance. This process is known as the System Technical Assistance Review (STAR).

Talbot County was originally Focused Monitored November 28-30, 2006 in the area of mathematics. Talbot County was selected to participate in the STAR process on October 6-7, 2009 due to continued poor performance in mathematics for students with disabilities. The monitoring team consisted of:

Kimberly Green, Divisions for Special Education Services and Supports, GaDOE Julie Moilanen, Divisions for Special Education Services and Supports, GaDOE Alice Murphy, Divisions for Special Education Services and Supports, GaDOE Kachelle White, Divisions for Special Education Services and Supports, GaDOE Joy Glidden, Special Education Administrator, Douglas County Schools Cynthia Spaulding, Parent of a student with a disability

The following data was reviewed prior to the on-site visit:

CRCT Results

SWD % Meets/Exceeds	FY09	FY08	FY07
Math	10.3	0.0	20.7
RELA	25.9	30.4	29.3

School Improvement Plan
STAR Self- Assessment
GAPSS Reviews
Professional Learning Activities
Supplement Programs
Individual Education Programs (IEPs)
Professional Survey Results
System Data Profiles
Focused Monitoring Report and Corrective Action Plan
AYP Data
GLRS Initiatives

During the on-site visit the following activities took place:

Interviewed 9 teachers
Interviewed 11 administrators/support personnel
Interviewed 3 parents
Interviewed 5 paraprofessionals
Conducted 10 observations

AREA	SUMMARY
AKEA	An asterisk (*) indicates that the topic was previously discussed as an area of concern/compliance issue in the original Focused Monitoring Report.
INSTRUCTION	Talbot County special education and general education teachers need Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) training in the subject areas they are currently teaching. Once the GPS training is received, follow-up coaching and modeling is needed to ensure that teachers are implementing the GPS with fidelity.
	Differentiated Instruction training is also needed. Teachers are concerned with how to teach a class with students who have varying abilities and they would like to see differentiation in action by someone modeling a lesson. Mathematics should be the area of focus in the training examples. *
	Classroom observations did not consistently show differentiated instruction, technology integrated into the instruction, student work supporting standards posted, and the language of standards-based teaching and learning.
	The co-teaching models that were consistently observed were general education teachers teaching the lesson and the special education teachers either observing or circulating around the room. This is an indication that additional coaching and modeling of the different co-teaching models is needed for all teachers aligned with administrative support and follow-up. In addition, professional development on the roles of co-teachers in the lesson planning process is imperative. According to the professional survey that was completed prior to the onsite visit, 50% responded that co-taught instruction is "somewhat effective" in increasing the performance of students with disabilities.
	Talbot County is strongly encouraged to continue analyzing data at the student level and working with all teachers to adjust instruction based on the results of the data.
	RECOMMENDATIONS
	Administrator and/or academic coach walk-throughs and observations should incorporate a tool to observe and provide constructive feedback for teachers to include the following; coteaching strengths and weaknesses, use of differentiated instruction, use of technology, and GPS implementation consisting of vocabulary of the standards and rigor.
	Provide GPS training for all teachers that have not received training in the subject area they are currently teaching. In addition, provide follow-up "re-fresher" training for teachers that have received training in the past.
	The academic coaches are a valuable resource to the teachers and their role should continue to be strengthened and valued.
	DOCUMENTATION
	Talbot County will submit sample copies and summaries of classroom observation feedback forms documenting access to the curriculum (GPS) for students with disabilities.
PROGRESS MONITORING	At the leadership level, there is a plan of action to address progress monitoring through weekly common assessments and benchmarks. However, it is not clearly practiced and understood by all teachers. Special education and general education teachers have limited familiarity with how to progress monitor on a frequent and consistent basis and to adjust instruction based on the results. Weekly assessments are given by some teachers, but they are not implemented consistently across grade levels and teachers. According to the professional survey, 71% responded that they use progress monitoring to adjust instruction weekly.

Pyramid of Interventions- RtI is at the emerging stage and limited information was available. *

RECOMMENDATIONS

Professional learning is needed on different progress monitoring tools and system expectations related to frequency, record keeping, and analyzing data to adjust instruction based on results. In addition, a systematic process should be established to compile student level data to monitor progress.

A plan should be developed to address the Pyramid of Interventions and an outline of how it will be presented to teachers. All staff should be familiar with the different tiers and what interventions can be provided for students based on their need and subject area.

DOCUMENTATION

Documentation that professional learning has been provided to staff on the use of progress monitoring and how staff will use the data to drive instructional practices. This should include making the determination of when an intervention is needed for a student who may be struggling in a particular content area.

PROFESSIONAL LEARNING

A review of the professional learning documentation provided by the system showed professional learning has been provided in the following areas between December 2006 and October 2007:

- GPAT Training
- IEP/Eligibility
- Differentiated Instruction
- Co-teaching and Scheduling
- Lesson Plans/Modifications
- ESY Training
- Accommodations/Strategies for SWD
- Math (Navigator Training/America's Choice)
- SRA Number Worlds Training
- Literacy Assessment and Diagnostic Training

According to the professional survey, 47% responded that they receive follow-up support and coaching when implementing new classroom practices (i.e. co-teaching, differentiation, GPS, etc.).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Professional learning is a continuous need in the following areas:

- How to determine the appropriate standardized assessment for special education students
- How to differentiate between accommodations and modifications
- Determining the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) for students with disabilities
- GPS and differentiated instruction
- Co-teaching and inclusive practices
- Progress monitoring
- Paraprofessionals need additional support to better meet the needs of students with various disabilities, behavior concerns, and identifying the most productive way to utilize them in the classroom setting

DOCUMENTATION

Revised school improvement plan imbedded with the above mentioned professional learning topics.

COMPLIANCE/ON- SITE FINDING/S	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	REQUIRED EVIDENCE OF CORRECTION BY December 1, 2010
APPLICABLE FEDERAL/STATE REGULATIONS		
C.F.R. 300.115	Least Restrictive Environment: Continuum Alternative Placements	
	Supplementary Instruction for special education students as indicated in the Special Education State Rule [C.F.R. 300.115(B)(1)-(2)] "Make provision for supplementary services (such as resource room or itinerant instruction) to be provided in conjunction with regular class placement" was not available in Talbot County. A full continuum should be considered and available for each student.	Talbot County will submit sample IEPs documenting the consideration of the full continuum of educational placements. Talbot County will submit a list of students with disabilities who have been identified as needing
	Due to the size of the system, scheduling can be difficult; however, there was significant indication that Talbot does have some students who need instructional support in addition to the general education setting. The IEP teams need to consider the individual needs of each child in order to identify students that need Supplementary Services and document the plan for services in IEPs.	additional instruction in conjunction with regular class placement.
C.F.R. 300.320	Individualized Education Programs: Definition of IEP	
	In a review of the Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance, it was determined that the students' individual needs were not clearly defined. This section of the IEP should be skill specific to address the areas of difficulty for the student and is essential in determining the goals to be addressed later in the IEP.	Talbot County will submit sample IEPs documenting Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance including the specific needs of the student, placement options considered and accepted, and specific testing accommodations.
	There is confusion as to the definitions of the placement options for services. The consideration of services section of the IEP is designed for the IEP teams to document the services considered and accepted. There are many students who are in co-teaching settings, yet the option accepted was collaboration or consultation. Professional learning is needed for teachers to know the definitions of each placement option. The placement option accepted will depend on the amount of time the student needs in order to be successful in the general curriculum. Each placement option is clearly defined in Georgia's Implementation Manual for Special Education State Rules.	
	When documenting testing accommodations, IEP teams need to define accommodations by content area. This will allow for clear understanding of which accommodations accompany specific content areas.	

For example, Talbot County IEPs indicate CRCT as the assessment and list all accommodation for the assessment including calculator. A calculator will not be used on all subtests, therefore it is unclear as to whether there are additional accommodations that may be used for only one subtest or not. For this reason, each content area should be listed and the appropriate accommodations listed as well.

C.F.R. 300.105

Free and Appropriate Public Education: Assistive Technology

"(a) Each public agency must ensure that assistive technology devices or assistive technology services, or both,... are made available to a child with a disability if required..."

In reviewing the IEPs, it appears the students have access to multiple assistive technology (AT) devices. The majority of the IEPs listed the same AT tools for all students (i.e. Kurzwiel, books on CD, talking dictionaries). It is recommended to provide additional training on the consideration of AT for students with disabilities. Tools that are available to all students may not necessarily need to be documented in the IEP. In addition, observations and interviews did not indicate the use of the AT tools in the classroom. If a device is determined to be needed as a tool to assist a student in accessing the curriculum, it should be used consistently in the classroom setting. Observations should include monitoring AT use in the classroom.

C.F.R. 300.106

Free and Appropriate Public Education: Extended School Year Services

"(a)General. (1) Each public agency must ensure that extended school year services are available as necessary to provide FAPE."

In reviewing the IEP and speaking with staff, it was determined that Extended School Year (ESY) is not provided for students with disabilities. Teachers need additional professional learning to understand the full consideration of the need for ESY.

Talbot County will submit documention of staff training on AT indicating the consideration process for determining the need for AT.

A list of students using AT will be provided, including the student's disability and the specific tools being used.

Talbot County will submit documentation of staff training for ESY. Sample IEPs will also be submitted to document the consideration of ESY for all students with disabilities.

RESOURCES

The following resources are available on the Georgia Department of Education website and Talbot County is strongly encouraged to utilize these supports:

- Elluminate Sessions: LRE, Standards Based IEPs, Transition, Math Strategies, Math Talks
- Implementation Manual
- Testing Accommodations Manual
- Transition Manual
- Transition DVD
- LRE DVD series
- Book: Students With Disabilities Can Make AYP: What Every School Leader Should Know.
- Thinkfinity
- Learning Village
- GLRS: Online Co-Teaching and Differentiation Course, Coaching Support, AT Support

COMPLIANCE ACTION PLAN FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Talbot County

Date Due: Feb. 1, 2010

The following activities have been planned in a logical order to correct the cited noncompliance and effect continuous improvement:

Actions/Strategies/ Interventions	Professional Learning	Resources or Materials Needed (Include funding source, personnel and cost)	Person or Position Responsible for Supervision of Implementation	Timeline for Implementation (Specific Dates)	Means of Evaluation (Person responsible; what is used evaluate the action, strategy, intervention or program?)	Documentation of CAP activities due to the DES with dates	Evidence of Impact (Student Learning Data)
The Classroom Observation tool will be revised to include: Co-Teaching Differentiation Assistive Tech GPS Standards Vocabulary Rigor	Training on the revised Clsrm Obs Instrument: Co-teaching Differentiation Assistive Tech Class Keys	Sch Imp Specialist, Special Ed Director, Special Ed Coord Design Team, Principals Coaches Reading Math Clsrm Obs Instrmt No Cost	SpEd. Director SpEd. Coord Sch Psychologist Sch Improv Dir Coaches Principals Design Team	1/14/10	Principals Sch Improv Director Special Ed. Director	Revised Clsrm Observation Tool: E-mailed to Dr. Habiger on January 6, 2010 Agendas Sign-in Logs Clsrm Obs Tool Summaries May 3,2010 Sept. 1, 2010 Dec. 1, 2010	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments Pre and Post Benchmark Assessments
IEPs will be written to include: Individual student needs in the PLAAF	Teachers will be trained to develop appropriate statements regarding individual student deficits Teachers will be	Revised IEP Samples SpEd. Coor. No Cost	SpEd. Director SpEd. Coord	April 1, 2010	Special Ed. Director	Agendas, Training Logs, IEPs May 3, 2010 (5) Sept. 1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments
 Continuum Of Placement 	Teachers will be trained to consider	Revised Sample IEPs Flow Chart	SpEd. Coord	Oct. 15, 2009	SpEd Director	May 3, 2010 (5) Sept. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students

Options	the full continuum of placements and document the appropriate placement	documenting the Continuum of Placement Options SpEd Coord				Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments
Selection of: Test Accoms and /or modifications in reading and/or math	PL Training: Test Accommodations and Modifications Correlation to reading and/or math	Sample revised IEPs GADOE Standard Accommodations No Cost	Special Ed. Dir. SpEd. Coord	Oct. 29, 2009 Oct. 22,2009	SpEd Director	May 3, 2010 (5) Sept. 1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments
Identification of	PL in the area of	GPAT Manual	SpEd Director	March 9, 2010	Principal	Agendas	Increase in the
SWD needing assistive	assistive technology in the classroom	GPAT Staff Chattahoochee Flint	SpEd Coord Principals		SpEd Director	Sign-in Logs	number of students meeting or
technology using		RESA Manual:	Coaches			AT Consideration	exceeding reading
the Consideration Process	 Procedures for identification and 	Procedures for Identification,	AT Specialist AT Committee			Checklist	and/or math standards on
	referral for AT services	Evaluation, and Assessment of AT	with input from GPAT			 List of students using AT: 	statewide assessments
		Manual for each				 Student's disability 	
		teacher No Cost				 Specific tool/s used 	
						Sample IEPs	
Consideration and selection of SWDs for Extended	Teachers will be trained to identify and use appropriate	GaDOE Criteria for ESY	SpEd Director SpEd Coord	Jan. 28, 2010		List of Students receiving ESY to include:	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading
School Year	criteria when considering ESY					Disability Area Service Location	
						Hours per week	and/or math
						Goals	standards on statewide
						May 3, 2010 (5) Sept 1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	assessments

Actions/Strategies/ Intervention or Programs	Professional Learning (Include funding source and cost)	Resources or Materials Needed (Include funding source, personnel and cost)	Person or Position Responsible for Supervision of Implementation	Timeline for Implementation (Specific Dates)	Means of Evaluation (Person responsible; what is used evaluate the action, strategy, intervention or program?)	Documentation of CAP activities due to the DES with dates	Evidence of Impact
Intensity of interventions will correlate with Tier Levels in the Pyramid of Interventions	Professional Learning related to the Pyramid of Interventions/RTI Process	504/SST Coord RTI/SST Manual Video/Power Point Presentation POI	504/SST Coord 504/SST Team SpEd Director SpEd. Coord Principals Coaches Sch Impr Special	2/16/10 2/17/10 2/18/10	Principals Academic Coaches Clsrm Obs. Instrmt	Agenda Sign-in Log May 3, 2010 (5) Sept.1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments
Supplementary reading and/or math services will be reflected in the Service Delivery Portion of the IEP	PL in analyzing student data and IEP goals and selecting students needing additional instruction in Math & Reading	IEP Team Special Ed. Director Special Ed. Coord Odyssey-Ware Computer-Based academic program Coaches Teachers	SpEd. Director SpEd. Coord Principals GaDOE Sch Impr Specialist	Feb. 1, 2010 On-going	SpEd Director	IEPs documenting reading and/or math supplementary services May 3, 2010 (5) Sept. 1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments
Instructional strategies will be driven by progress monitoring data	PL Training: Progress Monitoring Using Data to Drive Instruction GPS & Differentiation of Instruction	Academic Coaches Sch Imprmnt Sp No Cost	Sch Imprment Specialist Special Ed. Director/Coor. Academic Coaches Principals	Jan. 11, 2010	Principals SpEd Director Classroom Obs. Instrument	Weekly Content Planning Agendas Obs Instrument Sign-in Logs May 3, 2010 (5) Sept. 1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding reading and/or math standards on statewide assessments

Actions/Strategies/Inte rventions or Programs	Professional Learning	Resources or Materials Needed (Include funding source, personnel and cost)	Person or Position Responsible for Supervision of Implementation	Timeline for Implementation (specific dates)	Means of Evaluation (Who is responsible and what will be used to evaluate the action, strategy, intervention or program?)	Documentation of CAP activities due to the DES with dates	Evidence of Impact (Student Learning Data)
Teachers will use appropriate criteria to select appropriate statewide assessments for SWD: • Standardized Assessment with and without accommodations • Alternate Assessment	PL Consideration Regular Assessment Program with and without accommodati ons Alternate Assessment	SpEd Director Worksheet for Assessment Decision Making No Cost	IEP Committee SpEd Director SpEd Coordinator	April 1,2010	SpEd Director SpEd Coordinator	IEP documenting assessment decisions, and specific testing accommodations May 3, 2010 (5) Sept. 1, 2010 (5) Dec. 1, 2010 (5)	Increase in the number of students meeting/exceeding Math and Reading Standards on all state assessments and IEP goals
 Differentiation LRE Co-Teaching Progress Mon Paraprofession Stude 	tatewide testing for some testing for some testing accommost storing	SWD dations and modificat	ions			Revised School Improvement Plan May 3, 2010	Increase in the number of students meeting/exceeding Math and Reading Standards on all state assessments and IEP goals

o Most efficient use of paraprofessionals in the classroom

The following district stakeholders participated in the development of the CAP and assure that all responsible parties will complete tasks as outlined in order to meet the determined "evidence of impact"

Special Education Director
Special Education Coordinator
State School Director
Elementary School Principal
Middle School Principal
High School Principal
Academic Coach
Academic Coach
Academic Coach
Academic Coach